

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address of MMISSI NEW EPARTMENT AND TRADEMARKS Washington 1 0 2 201

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 837,183	04 18 2001	Charles A. Sellers	COMP:0210	1203
75	90 09 30 2002			
Ralph A. Graham Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren P.O. Box 692289 Houston, TX 77269-2289			FXAMINER	
			VORTMAN, ANATOLY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2835 DATE MAILED: 09 30 2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•		09/837,183	SELLERS, CHARLES A.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Anatoly Vortman	2835		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apports.	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
THE I - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a) In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	iy a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	April 2001 .			
2a)□	·	nis action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4)⊡	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
· · ·	ion Papers				
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		<del>.</del>		
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2001 is/are: a)		·		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
, —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	in priority dilactico o.o.	o. 3 1 10(a) (d) o. (i).		
u,	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority document		n Application No		
* 6	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	een received in this National Stage		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•			
•	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language property	•			
15)[] /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachmen		_			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application Control Number: 09 837,183

Art Unit: 2835

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US/4,781,422 to Kimble.

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 8, Kimble disclosed (Fig. 1-3) a computer system (10), comprising:

a base (11); a display enclosure (14-16) housing a display (13); and a securing mechanism (17, 18) to pivotably secure the display enclosure (14-16) to the base (11), comprising:

a positioning assembly (29) that produces a frictional force to prevent the display enclosure (14) from pivoting; and a mechanically actuated operator (75, 85), the operator (75, 85) being operable to remove at least a portion of the force preventing the display enclosure (14-16) from pivoting.

Regarding claims 10 and 14, Kimble disclosed (Fig. 1-3) a clutch assembly (29) for pivotably securing a computer display (14-16) to a computer base (11), comprising:

Application Control Number: 09 837,183

Art Unit: 2835

a first portion (16, 54, 55) to enable the computer display (14) to pivot relative to the computer base unit (11); a second portion (34, 36) to produce a force to oppose pivotal motion of the display (14); and a mechanically operated third portion (75) operable to prevent the second portion (34, 36) from opposing pivotal motion of the display (14).

Regarding claim 3, Kimble disclosed that said securing mechanism (18) comprises a first member (55) secured to the display enclosure (14-16), a second member (34) secured to the base (11), and a force producer (80) to drive the first and second members into contact.

Regarding claims 4, 7, and 11, the functional recitation of claim 4 that said "operator prevents the force producer from driving the first and second members into contact", the functional recitation of claim 7 that said "operator is electrically actuated", and the functional recitation of claim 11, that said "third portion is electrically operated" has not been given patentable weight because these recitations are narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC § 112, 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kimble disclosed that said operator (75, 85) comprises an actuator (85) disposed on the display enclosure (14-16) to enable a user to control the operator (75).

Regarding claim 9, Kimble disclosed that said device (10) is a portable computer (column 1, lines 1+), therefore it inherently comprises a processor.

Application Control Number: 09/837,183

Art Unit: 2835

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Kimble disclosed a biased (by spring (80) fourth portion (85), the fourth portion (85) being manually operable. The functional recitation of claim 12 "to control electrical power to the third portion" and the functional recitation of claim 13 "so as to not supply electrical power to the third portion" has not been given patentable weight because these recitation are narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC § 112, 6th paragraph, and <u>must be supported</u> by recitation in the claim of <u>sufficient</u> <u>structure</u> to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Kimble disclosed a biased (by spring (80)) fourth portion (85), the fourth portion (85) being manually operable to mechanically operate the third portion (75), wherein the third portion (75) does not prevent the second portion (34, 36) from opposing pivotal motion of the display (14).

Regarding claims 17-21, the method steps recited in the claims are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Kimble.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure: US/5165145, 5548478, 6421878, 6256193, 5668570, 5396399, 5832566, 5436792, 5771152, 6101676, 6353529, 5564163, and 5251102 disclosed hinges for portable computers comprising adjustable clutches.

Art Unit: 2835

Please, note that aforementioned US 5165145, 5668570, and 6101676 would have been sufficient for rejection under 35 USC § 102 at least of independent claims 1 and 10.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 703-308-7824. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

A lock

Anatoly Vortman Examiner Art Unit 2835

A.V. July 24, 2002